

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/530,850 | 01/03/2006 | Philippe Campo | Serie 6056 | 7704 | |
| 40582 | 7590 10/02/20 | 06 | EXAMINER | | |
| AIR LIQUI | DE DAK BOULEVARD | BARRY, CHESTER T | | | |
| HOUSTON, | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER . | | |
| | | 1724 | | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 1 | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | Application N | lo. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 10/530,850 | • | CAMPO | | | | |
| Office Acti | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Chester T. Ba | | 1724 | | | | |
| The MAILING Da Period for Reply | ATE of this communication ap | ppears on the co | ver sheet with the c | orrespondence add | dress | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be avafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specification Failure to reply within the set | UTORY PERIOD FOR REP GER, FROM THE MAILING I ailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 he mailing date of this communication. fied above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statu ce later than three months after the mailint. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, he ad will apply and will expute, cause the application | COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timber SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE | I. lely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to co | ommunication(s) filed on <u>03</u> | January 2006 | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FIN | | nis action is non- | final. | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | ation is in condition for allow | | | secution as to the | merits is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 6-13 is/ | are pending in the applicatio | on. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) i | ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-13</u> is/ | Claim(s) 6-13 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) i | s/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) a | are subject to restriction and/ | or election requ | irement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification | is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | | |
| | ed on is/are: a)□ ac | | objected to by the F | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not | request that any objection to the | ne drawing(s) be h | eld in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement draw | ring sheet(s) including the corre | ection is required in | the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CF | R 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or decla | ration is objected to by the E | Examiner. Note | the attached Office | Action or form PT | O-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified c | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | opies of the priority documer | | • • | <u> </u> | | | | |
| · | the certified copies of the pri | - | | ed in this National S | Stage | | | |
| | from the International Bure | , | | | | | | |
| * See the attached (| detailed Office action for a lis | st of the certified | copies not receive | d. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | , | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited | | 4) | Interview Summary | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's P. Information Disclosure Sta | atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/8/</u> | | | Other: | • • | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/530,850

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 6 – 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 6780319. '319 describes a biological treatment tank for processing solids-bearing wastewater. In the aeration tank of Fig 2, the solids concentration is 4 g / L. The patent adds ozone in the amount of 3 – 100 g / kg SM solids. This corresponds to 12 – 400 mg ozone / L. Production of an emulsion upon injection of ozone gas into the wastewater is inherent. Per claim 7, 12 mg/L is within the range of 2.5 – 300mg/L.

Page 2

Claims 8 – 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over 6780319. As for the injection means by which the ozone is added to the wastewater, it would have been obvious to have selected any known mechanism for injecting a finely-divided gas into a liquid, e.g., hollow tube and propeller, venture, turbine, or a self-suction turbine and propeller, because each are well known in the wastewater treating arts.

CHESTERT. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

571-272-1152